

Sokolov - filing is 2-3 wks.

DOJ close to filing a complaint for obstruction of justice  
Conspiracy & misstatements to the court/board.

copies of /AST  
Dec 14, 1959  
OS Report  
pertaining to  
Sokolov  
July 3, 1979

has suit for libel in N.Y.

- disposed in that case

- made violent & threatening statements for INS

German military records?

- do we have them

will we release them? supply a witness?

- see [ ] re OS polygraph material

Truman

Civil Process, Bureau for D.C. prior to denaturalization (in Newark)

then re denaturalization proceeding

- probably a minimum of a yr. to trial

- discovery immediately

Was not brought in by CIA

Vice Counsel

John Poulos 1935 in America

Returned States in Sept 70.

Sokolov.

- dealt w/ us in America, but probably did not  
know he was dealing w/ us.

What DOJ needs:

Sec Security

1. Statements about lying to other agencies

2. Any other docs relating to army or OS membership

- these docs would have probably supplied by Von B.

LAPD/USCIS

- may go along - facts confirmed to the point of a fatal defect.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE/METHOD/EXEMPTION 3020  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

FOR COORDINATION WITH DOJ/OSI

Friday 330 - OCR 1673

from clips of NOC sent on NAE/15

2200 POSTED

- will do whatever they need w/ limitations

- will not identify overseas locations,  
sources

Document 1

will give up document

custody

1. Testify that document was ~~kept~~ kept in  
CIA files in usual course of business

- been in the files, retrieved from overseas  
location in 1952. (E October 1952)

- did he receive it from SOOPOKOV.

- file shows <sup>original was seen by CIA</sup> it was received by

STAFFER AT THIS DATE & THIS WAS A COPY.

- DO will NOT admit to J. being assigned  
to CIA installation in London

- would go so far as to assert  
claim of executive privilege

- Pseudonym

- DELETE PSEUDO

- DELETE TRUE NAME

- DO prepared to state that J. was a  
valued asset

- ~~DO~~ DO will resist any  
information request for information

identifying sources & methods relating  
to his services.

- J. did not realize he was dealing w/ CIA

IF J. did not  
know his correspondent  
was CIA, own position  
must not disclose  
this information of  
interference.

will not admit the  
contact is positive  
& will ~~be~~ be actually  
talk to

Document 2 - Document 12

Document 3. O/S Report

Polygraph Report

Poly done in Beirut

Controlled in both DDO & OS files

Will be permit circumstances surrounding  
acquisition to be brought out

1. Interview

2. Polygraph

3. Follow to notes

Document 4.

Integrated Report DDO document

Does name in states in SC by SR.

DO has no objection to statement of

CIA Acting in U.S.

may have been done in U.S.

Can be released in accordance to

- except reason to states,

Departmental records.

- take into 15,

- will let states up on the officer.

Document 5. Footnote to biography

- written by J. OCT 55 & received on 21 OCT 55.

Released in full text

in cyrillic original

- circumstances surrounding receipt  
of document may be relevant

1. S. and DDO by W/CIA

2. in U.S.

3. DO must still protect  
name of contact

6. Does contain questions & answers